Product constructions for large sets of resolvable MTSs and DTSs

JUNLING ZHOU YANXUN CHANG*

Department of Mathematics Beijing Jiaotong University Beijing 100044 P. R. China

jlzhou@center.njtu.edu.cn yxchang@center.njtu.edu.cn

Abstract

An LRMTS(v) (respectively, LRDTS(v)) is a large set consisting of v-2(respectively, 3(v-2)) disjoint resolvable Mendelsohn (respectively, directed) triple systems of order v. We have presented the tripling constructions for LRMTSs (see Chang, Discr. Math., to appear) and LRDTSs (see Zhou and Chang, Acta Mathematica Sinica, to appear), with a newly defined structure TRIQ or DTRIQ being used. Lei (Discr. Math. 257 (2002), 63-81) introduced a concept called LR-design in order to obtain the product construction for large sets of Kirkman triple systems (KTSs). In this paper, we utilize both TRIQ (or DTRIQ) and LR-design to present the product constructions for LRMTSs and LRDTSs, which generalize the tripling constructions mentioned above. Applying the product constructions with the known LRMTSs, LRDTSs, TRIQs (or DTRIQs) and LR-designs, we obtain the existence of an LRMTS(v) and an LRDTS(v)for $v = 3^n m (2 \cdot k_1^{n_1} + 1)(2 \cdot k_2^{n_2} + 1) \cdots (2 \cdot k_t^{n_t} + 1)$ where $n \ge 1, t \ge 0$, $n_i \geq 1, k_i \in \{7, 13\} \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, t) \text{ and } m \in \{1, 4, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, \dots, t\}$ $(13^k + 2)/3$, $(25^k + 2)/3$, $2^{2k+1}25^j + 1$: $k \ge 0$ and $j \ge 0$.

1 Introduction

Let X be a finite set. In what follows an ordered pair of X is always an ordered pair (x, y) where $x \neq y \in X$. A cyclic triple on X is a set of three ordered pairs (x, y), (y, z) and (z, x) of X, which is denoted by $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ (or $\langle y, z, x \rangle$, or $\langle z, x, y \rangle$). A transitive triple on X is a set of three ordered pairs (x, y), (y, z) and (x, z) of X, which is denoted by (x, y, z).

^{*} Supported by TRAPOYT and NSFC grant No.10371002

An oriented triple system of order v is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) where X is a v-set and \mathcal{B} is a collection of cyclic or transitive triples on X, called blocks, such that every ordered pair of X belongs to exactly one block of \mathcal{B} . In particular, if the triples in \mathcal{B} are all cyclic (respectively, transitive), then (X, \mathcal{B}) is called a Mendelsohn (respectively, directed) triple system and denoted by MTS(v) (respectively, DTS(v)).

An MTS(v) (or DTS(v)) (X, \mathcal{B}) is called resolvable if its block set \mathcal{B} can be partitioned into subsets (called $parallel\ classes$), each containing every element of X exactly once. A resolvable MTS(v) (respectively, DTS(v)), denoted by RMTS(v) (respectively, RDTS(v)), is easily seen to contain v-1 parallel classes.

A large set of MTS(v) (respectively, DTS(v)), denoted by LMTS(v) (respectively, LDTS(v)), is a collection $\{(X, \mathcal{B}_i)\}$, where every (X, \mathcal{B}_i) is an MTS(v) (respectively, DTS(v)) and all \mathcal{B}_i 's form a partition of all cyclic (respectively, transitive) triples on X. It is easy to see that an LMTS(v) consists of v-2 disjoint MTS(v)s and an LDTS(v) consists of 3(v-2) disjoint DTS(v)s. An LRMTS(v) (respectively, LRDTS(v)) denotes an LMTS(v) (respectively, LDTS(v)) in which each MTS(v) (respectively, DTS(v)) is resolvable.

We summarize the known existence results on LRMTSs and LRDTSs as follows.

Theorem 1.1 ([11, 4, 6, 1, 12]) There exist an LRMTS(v) and an LRDTS(v) for v = 69, 123, 141, 159, $7^k + 2$, $13^k + 2$, $25^k + 2$, $3^n m$, where $k \ge 0$, $n \ge 1$, $m \in \{1, 4, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 25, 35, 37, 43, 55, 57, 61, 65, 67, 91, 123\} \cup \{2^{2r+1}25^s + 1 : r \ge 0, s \ge 0\}.$

The orders $4 \cdot 3^n$ for $n \ge 1$ in Theorem 1.1 come from the tripling constructions given in [1, 12] as follows.

Theorem 1.2 (Tripling Constructions, [1, 12]) If there exist both an LRMTS(v) (respectively, LRDTS(v)) and a TRIQ(v) (respectively, DTRIQ(v)), then there exists an LRMTS(3v) (respectively, LRDTS(3v)).

In the following product constructions, we will also use the structures TRIQ and DTRIQ. So, we recall their definitions and existence results.

A quasigroup of order v is a pair (X, \circ) , where X is a v-set and \circ is a binary operation on X such that equations $a \circ x = b$ and $y \circ a = b$ are uniquely solvable for every pair of elements a, b in X. A quasigroup (X, \circ) is called *idempotent* if the identity $x \circ x = x$ holds for all x in X. An idempotent quasigroup of order v is denoted by IQ(v). Furthermore, an idempotent quasigroup (X, \circ) is called resolvable if all v(v-1) pairs of distinct elements of X can be partitioned into subsets T_i , $1 \le i \le 3(v-1)$, such that every $\Gamma_i = \{(x, y, x \circ y) : (x, y) \in T_i\}$ (called parallel class) is a partition of X. A resolvable idempotent quasigroup of order v is denoted by RIQ(v).

An IQ(v) is called *first-transitive*, if there exists a group G of order v acting transitively on X which forms an automorphism group of (X, \circ) . A first-transitive RIQ(v) is briefly denoted by TRIQ(v).

Take any fixed ordered pair (i,j) $(i \neq j)$. For an $IQ(X, \circ)$ and the given ordered pair (i,j), define a set $T^X(i,j)$ of transitive triples of $X \times \{i,j\}$ as follows: for each ordered pair (x,y), $x \neq y \in X$, let $t(x,y,x \circ y)$ be the three transitive triples of $X \times \{i,j\}$ defined by

$$t(x, y, x \circ y) = \{((x, i), (y, i), (x \circ y, j)), ((x, i), (x \circ y, j), (y, i)), ((x \circ y, j), (x, i), (y, i))\}.$$
(1.1)

Set

$$T^{X}(i,j) = \bigcup_{x \neq y \in X} t(x,y,x \circ y). \tag{1.2}$$

The IQ (X, \circ) is called second-transitive provided that $T^X(i, j)$ can be partitioned into three sets $T_0^X(i, j)$, $T_1^X(i, j)$ and $T_2^X(i, j)$ such that

- (a) the three transitive triples in $t(x, y, x \circ y)$ belong to different $T_k^X(i, j)$ s (k = 0, 1, 2);
- (b) if $a \neq b \in X$, each of the ordered pairs ((a, i), (b, j)) and ((b, j), (a, i)) belongs to exactly one transitive triple in each of $T_0^X(i, j), T_1^X(i, j)$ and $T_2^X(i, j)$.

It is worth noting that we make a slight modification to the definition of second-transitivity in [12] (where the values of i and j are restricted to $\{0, 1, 2\}$). It is obvious that the two definitions are equivalent because the second-transitivity does not depend on the choice of the ordered pair (i, j).

An IQ(v) (X, \circ) with both first- and second-transitivity is called *doubly transitive*. A doubly transitive RIQ(v) is denoted by DTRIQ(v).

The existence of TRIQ(v) and DTRIQ(v) is known as follows.

Theorem 1.3 ([1, 12]) A TRIQ(v) exists if and only if v is a positive integer such that 3|v and $v \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$; A DTRIQ(v) exists if and only if v is a positive integer such that 3|v and $v \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Another important concept is LR-design, which was introduced by Lei in [7].

Let X be a v-set. An LR-design of order v (briefly LR(v)) is a collection $\{(X, \mathcal{A}_k^j): 1 \leq k \leq \frac{v-1}{2}, j=0,1\}$ of v-1 KTS(v)s with following properties:

(i) Let the resolution of \mathcal{A}_k^j be $\Gamma_k^j = \{A_k^j(h) : 1 \leq h \leq \frac{v-1}{2}\}$. There is an element in each Γ_k^j , say, $A_k^j(1)$, such that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\frac{v-1}{2}} A_k^0(1) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\frac{v-1}{2}} A_k^1(1) = \mathcal{A}$$

and (X, A) is a KTS(v).

(ii) For any triple $T = \{x, y, z\} \subseteq X$, $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$, there exist k, j such that $T \in \mathcal{A}_k^j$.

The known existence results on LR-design are as follows.

Theorem 1.4 ([7]) There exists an $LR(2 \cdot 13^n + 1)$ for $n \ge 0$.

Theorem 1.5 ([3]) There exists an $LR(2 \cdot 7^n + 1)$ for $n \ge 0$.

In Section 2, we will present the product constructions for LRMTSs and LRDTSs. In Section 3, we apply the constructions to update the existence results on LRMTSs and LRDTSs.

2 Product constructions for LRMTSs and LRDTSs

In the following constructions, we need the concept of complete mapping in a finite group.

A complete mapping of a group (G, \cdot) is a bijection mapping $x \to \theta(x)$ of G upon G such that the mapping $\eta(x) = x \cdot \theta(x)$ is again a bijection mapping of G upon G. The following existence result has been stated in [1].

Lemma 2.1 ([1, Lemma 2.7]) If there exists an IQ(v) (X, \circ) with a sharply transitive automorphism group G, then G has a complete mapping.

Remark 2.2 Suppose that X_1 is a u-set and (X_1, \circ) is a TRIQ(u). By the definition of TRIQ, we have:

(A) There is a sharply transitive automorphism group $G = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_{u-1}\}$ on (X_1, \circ) . By Lemma 2.1, G has a complete mapping, say, ϕ , and let $\sigma^* = [\phi(\sigma)]^{-1}$ for $\sigma \in G$. Then by the definition of complete mapping, we have

$$\{\sigma(\sigma^*)^{-1} : \sigma \in G\} = G. \tag{2.3}$$

(B) All u(u-1) pairs of distinct elements of X_1 can be partitioned into subsets S_i $(1 \le i \le 3(u-1))$, such that every $\Gamma_i = \{(x, y, x \circ y) : (x, y) \in S_i\}$ is a partition of X_1 .

If (X_1, \circ) is also second-transitive, i.e., (X_1, \circ) is a DTRIQ(u), then another property should hold:

- (C) For any fixed ordered pair (i,j) $(i \neq j)$, $T^{X_1}(i,j) = \bigcup_{x \neq y \in X_1} t(x,y,x \circ y)$, where $t(x,y,x \circ y)$ is defined in (1.1). By the property of second-transitivity, $T^{X_1}(i,j)$ can be partitioned into 3 sets $T_0^{X_1}(i,j)$, $T_1^{X_1}(i,j)$ and $T_2^{X_1}(i,j)$ satisfying:
 - (a) the three transitive triples in $t(x, y, x \circ y)$ belong to different $T_l^{X_1}(i, j)s$ (l = 0, 1, 2);
 - (b) if $a \neq b \in X_1$, each of the ordered pairs ((a,i),(b,j)) and ((b,j),(a,i)) belongs to exactly one transitive triple in each of $T_0^{X_1}(i,j)$, $T_1^{X_1}(i,j)$ and $T_2^{X_1}(i,j)$.

Furthermore, suppose that X_2 is a v-set with a linear order "<" (i.e., for any $x \neq y$, $x, y \in X_2$, either x < y or y < x). And suppose that $\{(X_2, \mathcal{A}_k^j) : 1 \leq k \leq \frac{v-1}{2}, j = 0, 1\}$ is an LR(v) satisfying condition (D):

(D) (i) Let the resolution of \mathcal{A}_k^j be $\Gamma_k^j = \{A_k^j(h) : 1 \leq h \leq \frac{v-1}{2}\}$. There is an element in each Γ_k^j , say, $A_k^j(1)$, such that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\frac{v-1}{2}} A_k^0(1) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\frac{v-1}{2}} A_k^1(1) = \mathcal{A}$$

and (X_2, A) is a KTS(v).

(ii) For any triple $T = \{x, y, z\} \subseteq X_2$, $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$, there exist k, j such that $T \in \mathcal{A}_k^j$.

The symbols and properties in Remark 2.2 will be used in the proofs of both Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4. In addition, we stipulate some notations for the use in the following proofs.

In (1.2), we give a symbol $T^X(i,j)$. For the comparing proofs of the following theorems, we introduce an analogous symbol $C^X(i,j)$ in an $IQ(X, \circ)$. For a fixed ordered pair (i,j), define

$$C^X(i,j) = \bigcup_{x \neq y \in X} \{ \langle (x,i), (y,i), (x \circ y, j) \rangle \}.$$

Moreover, if π is a permutation of X, we denote by $\pi C^X(i,j)$ (resp., $\pi T_l^X(i,j)$, $0 \le l \le 2$) the set of the cyclic (resp., transitive) triples in $C^X(i,j)$ (resp., $T_l^X(i,j)$, $0 \le l \le 2$) by replacing each occurrence of (x,j) with $(\pi(x),j)$ but keeping those occurrences with the second component "i" unchanged, say,

$$\pi C^X(i,j) = \textstyle \bigcup_{x \neq y \in X} \{ \langle (x,i), (y,i), (\pi(x \circ y),j) \rangle \}.$$

Theorem 2.3 If there exist an LRMTS(u) and a TRIQ(u), and there exists an LR(v), then there exists an LRMTS(uv).

Proof Suppose that (X_1, \circ) is the TRIQ(u) in Remark 2.2 with the properties (A) and (B). Let $\{(X_2, \mathcal{A}_k^j) : 1 \leq k \leq \frac{v-1}{2}, j = 0, 1\}$ be the LR(v) satisfying condition (D). Let $\{(X_1, \mathcal{B}_j) : 1 \leq j \leq u - 2\}$ be an LRMTS(u). We will construct an LRMTS(uv) on the set $Y = X_1 \times X_2$. The construction proceeds in 3 steps.

Step 1: For any $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq X_2$ with a < b < c, for $\sigma_i, \sigma_j \in G$ and $x \in X_1$, define

$$\begin{split} B_{ijx}^{(a,b,c)} &= \{ \{(x,a), (\sigma_j(x),b), (\sigma_i\sigma_j^*(x),c) \} \}, \\ P_{ij}^{(a,b,c)} &= \bigcup_{x \in X_1} \{ \langle u,v,w \rangle, \langle w,v,u \rangle : \{u,v,w\} \in B_{ijx}^{(a,b,c)} \}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(a,b,c)} = \bigcup_{\sigma_{i} \in G} P_{ij}^{(a,b,c)}.$$

Noting the formula (2.3), we have: (1) For $m \neq n \in \{a, b, c\}$, $x, y \in X_1$, each of the ordered pairs ((x, m), (y, n)) and ((y, n), (x, m)) belongs to exactly one triple of $\mathcal{A}_i^{(a,b,c)}$; (2) $\mathcal{A}_i^{(a,b,c)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{i'}^{(a,b,c)}$ are disjoint for $i \neq i'$.

For each $a' \in X_2$, we have u-2 disjoint RMTS(u)s $(X_1 \times \{a'\}, \mathcal{B}_j^{(a')})$ for $j=1,2,\cdots,u-2$, where $\mathcal{B}_i^{(a')}=\{\langle (x,a'),(y,a'),(z,a')\rangle: \langle x,y,z\rangle \in \mathcal{B}_i\}$.

For a given j, $1 \le j \le u - 2$, take $\{a, b, c\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and a < b < c, define

$$\mathcal{C}_j = (igcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_j^{(a,b,c)}) igcup_{\{a' \in X_2} \mathcal{B}_j^{(a')}).$$

Then it is not difficult to check that each (Y, C_j) is an MTS(uv) for $1 \le j \le u - 2$.

 (Y, \mathcal{C}_j) is resolvable because \mathcal{C}_j is the union of the uv-1 parallel classes in the following 2 parts.

Part I: For given i and k, $0 \le i \le u - 1$ and $1 \le k \le \frac{v-1}{2}$, $\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in A_k^0(1)} P_{ij}^{(a,b,c)}$ consists of 2 parallel classes. So this part gives u(v-1) parallel classes.

Part II: $\bigcup_{a' \in X_2} \mathcal{B}_j^{(a')}$ can be partitioned into u-1 parallel classes because of the resolvability of \mathcal{B}_j .

This step gives u-2 disjoint RMTS(uv)s on Y.

(The remaining $\mathcal{A}_0^{(a,b,c)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{u-1}^{(a,b,c)}$ ($\{a,b,c\} \in \mathcal{A}, \ a < b < c$) are saved for the use in the following two steps.)

Step 2: (making use of the block set $\mathcal{A}_0^{(a,b,c)}$)

For a given $\sigma_j \in G$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, u - 1$, define 3 permutations on X_1 , namely $\alpha_j^{(s)}(s \in Z_3)$ as follows:

$$\alpha_j^{(0)} = \sigma_j, \qquad \alpha_j^{(1)} = \sigma_0 \sigma_j^* \sigma_j^{-1}, \qquad \alpha_j^{(2)} = (\sigma_0 \sigma_j^*)^{-1} = (\alpha_j^{(1)} \alpha_j^{(0)})^{-1}.$$

For given k and $j,\ 1\le k\le \frac{v-1}{2}$ and $0\le j\le u-1,$ take $\{a,b,c\}\in A_k^0(1),$ a< b< c. Define

$$C_{0j}^{(a,b,c)} = \alpha_j^{(0)} C^{X_1}(a,b) \cup \alpha_j^{(1)} C^{X_1}(b,c) \cup \alpha_j^{(2)} C^{X_1}(c,a),$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{kj}^{(0)} = \Big[\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in A_k^0(1)} (P_{0j}^{(a,b,c)} \cup \mathcal{C}_{0j}^{(a,b,c)}) \Big] \bigcup \Big[\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in \mathcal{A}_k^0 \backslash A_k^0(1)} \mathcal{A}_j^{(a,b,c)} \Big].$$

Then it can be checked that each $(Y, \mathcal{D}_{kj}^{(0)})$ is an RMTS(uv) for $1 \leq k \leq \frac{v-1}{2}$ and $0 \leq j \leq u-1$. Now we explain its parallel classes in 2 parts:

Part I: $\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\}\in A_k^0(1)} P_{0j}^{(a,b,c)}$ consists of two parallel classes.

By the property (B) in Remark 2.2, for a given $i, 1 \le i \le 3(u-1), \Gamma_i = \{(x, y, x \circ y) : (x, y) \in S_i\}$ is a partition of X_1 . Define $\pi(S_i) = \{(\pi(x), \pi(y)) : (x, y) \in S_i\}$ for some $\pi \in G$. Since $\alpha_j^{(s)} \in G$ $(s \in Z_3), \alpha_j^{(2)} = (\alpha_j^{(1)}\alpha_j^{(0)})^{-1}$ and $A_k^0(1)$ is a parallel class of X_2 , we can conclude that

$$\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\}\in A_k^0(1)} (\alpha_j^{(0)}C^{S_i}(a,b)\cup\alpha_j^{(1)}C^{\alpha_j^{(0)}(S_i)}(b,c)\cup\alpha_j^{(2)}C^{\alpha_j^{(1)}\alpha_j^{(0)}(S_i)}(c,a))$$

is a partition of Y, where $C^R(e,f) = \bigcup_{(x,y) \in R} \{ \langle (x,e), (y,e), (x \circ y, f) \rangle \}$ for $R \in \{S_i, \alpha_i^{(0)}(S_i), \alpha_i^{(1)}\alpha_j^{(0)}(S_i) \}$ and $(e,f) \in \{(a,b), (b,c), (c,a) \}$. Note that

$$C^X(e,f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{3(u-1)} C^{S_i}(e,f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{3(u-1)} C^{\alpha_j^{(0)}(S_i)}(e,f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{3(u-1)} C^{\alpha_j^{(1)}\alpha_j^{(0)}(S_i)}(e,f).$$

It is easy to see that $\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\}\in A_k^0(1)} \mathcal{C}_{0j}^{(a,b,c)}$ can be partitioned into 3(u-1) parallel classes.

We have 3(u-1)+2 parallel classes in this part.

Part II: For given m and i, $2 \le m \le \frac{v-1}{2}$ and $0 \le i \le u-1$, $\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in A_k^0(m)} P_{ji}^{(a,b,c)}$ provides 2 parallel classes. So, we get u(v-3) parallel classes in this part.

Obviously, $\mathcal{D}_{kj}^{(0)}$ is the union of all the uv-1 parallel classes in Part I and II.

By formula (2.3), we have $\{\alpha_j^{(s)}: 0 \leq j \leq u-1\} = G \ (s \in \mathbb{Z}_3)$. With this fact, we can check that these $\frac{u(v-1)}{2}$ RMTS(uv)s are pairwise disjoint and they are obviously disjoint with those obtained in Step 1.

Step 3. (making use of the block set $\mathcal{A}_{u-1}^{(a,b,c)}$)

For a given $\sigma_j \in G$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, u - 1$, define 3 permutations on X_1 , namely $\beta_i^{(s)}(s \in Z_3)$ as follows:

$$\beta_j^{(0)} = \sigma_{u-1}\sigma_j^*, \qquad \beta_j^{(1)} = \sigma_j(\sigma_{u-1}\sigma_j^*)^{-1}, \qquad \beta_j^{(2)} = (\sigma_j)^{-1} = (\beta_j^{(1)}\beta_j^{(0)})^{-1}$$

For given k and $j,\ 1\le k\le \frac{v-1}{2}$ and $0\le j\le u-1,$ take $\{a,b,c\}\in A^1_k(1),$ a< b< c. Define

$$C_{u-1,j}^{(a,b,c)} = \beta_j^{(0)} C^{X_1}(a,c) \cup \beta_j^{(1)} C^{X_1}(c,b) \cup \beta_j^{(2)} C^{X_1}(b,a),$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{kj}^{(u-1)} = \Big[\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in A_k^1(1)} (P_{u-1,j}^{(a,b,c)} \cup \mathcal{C}_{u-1,j}^{(a,b,c)}) \Big] \cup \Big[\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in \mathcal{A}_k^1 \backslash A_k^1(1)} \mathcal{A}_j^{(a,b,c)} \Big].$$

The similar arguments as in Step 2 give $\frac{u(v-1)}{2}$ RMTS(uv)s $(Y, \mathcal{D}_{kj}^{(u-1)})$ for $1 \leq k \leq \frac{v-1}{2}$ and $0 \leq j \leq u-1$. Furthermore, these RMTS(uv)s are disjoint and also disjoint with those obtained in Steps 1 and 2.

We obtain a total of uv-2 disjoint RMTS(uv)s, a large set. This completes the proof. \Box

As we know, a large set of RDTS(v) contains three times the number of "small" sets that a large set of RMTS(v) does. We will see that the property of second-transitivity is just what we need for the product construction for LRDTSs.

Theorem 2.4 If there exist an LRDTS(u) and a DTRIQ(u), and there exists an LR(v), then there exists an LRDTS(uv).

Proof It is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3. Suppose that (X_1, \circ) is the DTRIQ(u) in Remark 2.2 with the properties (A), (B) and (C). Let $\{(X_2, \mathcal{A}_k^j):$

 $1 \leq k \leq \frac{v-1}{2}, j = 0, 1$ } be the LR(v) satisfying condition (D). Let $\{(X_1, \mathcal{B}_j) : 1 \leq j \leq 3(u-2)\}$ be an LRDTS(u). We will construct an LRDTS(uv) on the set $Y = X_1 \times X_2$. The construction proceeds in 3 steps.

Step 1: For any $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq X_2$ with a < b < c, for $\sigma_i, \sigma_i \in G$ and $x \in X_1$, define

$$\begin{split} B_{ijx}^{(a,b,c)} &= \big\{ \big\{ (x,a), (\sigma_j(x),b), (\sigma_i\sigma_j^*(x),c) \big\} \big\}, \\ P_{0ij}^{(a,b,c)} &= \bigcup_{x \in X_1} \big\{ (u,v,w), (w,v,u) : \{u,v,w\} \in B_{ijx}^{(a,b,c)} \big\}, \\ P_{1ij}^{(a,b,c)} &= \bigcup_{x \in X_1} \big\{ (u,w,v), (v,w,u) : \{u,v,w\} \in B_{ijx}^{(a,b,c)} \big\}, \\ P_{2ij}^{(a,b,c)} &= \bigcup_{x \in X_1} \big\{ (w,u,v), (v,u,w) : \{u,v,w\} \in B_{ijx}^{(a,b,c)} \big\}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{li}^{(a,b,c)} = \bigcup_{\sigma_i \in G} P_{lij}^{(a,b,c)} \ (0 \le l \le 2).$$

Noting the formula (2.3), we have: (1) For $m \neq n \in \{a, b, c\}$, $x, y \in X_1$, each of the ordered pairs ((x, m), (y, n)) and ((y, n), (x, m)) belongs to exactly one triple of $\mathcal{A}_{li}^{(a,b,c)}$; (2) $\mathcal{A}_{li}^{(a,b,c)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{l'i'}^{(a,b,c)}$ are disjoint for $(i, l) \neq (i', l')$.

For each $a' \in X_2$, we have 3(u-2) disjoint $RDTS(u)s\ (X_1 \times \{a'\},\ \mathcal{B}_j^{(a')})$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3(u-2)$, where $\mathcal{B}_j^{(a')} = \{((x,a'),(y,a'),(z,a')): (x,y,z) \in \mathcal{B}_j\}.$

For a given $j, 1 \leq j \leq u-2$, take $\{a,b,c\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and a < b < c, define

$$\mathcal{C}_{lj} = (\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_{lj}^{(a,b,c)}) \cup (\bigcup_{a' \in X_2} \mathcal{B}_{3j-2+l}^{(a')}).$$

Then each (Y, \mathcal{C}_{lj}) is an RDTS(uv) for $1 \leq j \leq u-2$ and $0 \leq l \leq 2$. Furthermore, the 3(u-1) RDTSs in this step are disjoint.

(The remaining $\mathcal{A}_{l0}^{(a,b,c)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{l,u-1}^{(a,b,c)}$ ($\{a,b,c\} \in \mathcal{A}, \ a < b < c, \ 0 \le l \le 2$) are saved for the use in the following two steps.)

Step 2: (making use of the block set $\mathcal{A}_{l0}^{(a,b,c)}$ for $\{a,b,c\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \le l \le 2$.)

For a given $\sigma_j \in G$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, u - 1$, define 3 permutations on X_1 , namely $\alpha_j^{(s)}(s \in Z_3)$ as follows:

$$\alpha_j^{(0)} = \sigma_j, \quad \alpha_j^{(1)} = \sigma_0 \sigma_j^* \sigma_j^{-1}, \quad \alpha_j^{(2)} = (\sigma_0 \sigma_j^*)^{-1} = (\alpha_j^{(1)} \alpha_j^{(0)})^{-1}.$$

For given k, j and l, $1 \le k \le \frac{v-1}{2}$, $0 \le j \le u-1$ and $0 \le l \le 2$, take $\{a,b,c\} \in A_k^0(1),\ a < b < c$. Define

$$\mathcal{C}_{l0j}^{(a,b,c)} = \alpha_j^{(0)} T_l^{X_1}(a,b) \cup \alpha_j^{(1)} T_l^{X_1}(b,c) \cup \alpha_j^{(2)} T_l^{X_1}(c,a),$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{lkj}^{(0)} = \Big[\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in A_k^0(1)} (P_{l0j}^{(a,b,c)} \cup \mathcal{C}_{l0j}^{(a,b,c)}) \Big] \cup \Big[\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in \mathcal{A}_k^0 \backslash A_k^0(1)} \mathcal{A}_{lj}^{(a,b,c)} \Big].$$

Then each $(Y, \mathcal{D}_{lkj}^{(0)})$ is an RDTS(uv) for $0 \le l \le 2$, $1 \le k \le \frac{v-1}{2}$ and $0 \le j \le u-1$. Furthermore, the $\frac{3u(v-1)}{2}$ RDTS(uv)s in this step are pairwise disjoint and they are also disjoint with those obtained in Step 1.

Step 3. (making use of the block set $\mathcal{A}_{l,u-1}^{(a,b,c)}$ for $\{a,b,c\}\in\mathcal{A}$ and $0\leq l\leq 2$)

For a given $\sigma_j \in G$, $j=0, 1, \dots, u-1$, define 3 permutations on X_1 , namely $\beta_i^{(s)}(s \in Z_3)$ as follows:

$$\beta_j^{(0)} = \sigma_{u-1}\sigma_j^*, \quad \beta_j^{(1)} = \sigma_j(\sigma_{u-1}\sigma_j^*)^{-1}, \quad \beta_j^{(2)} = (\sigma_j)^{-1} = (\beta_j^{(1)}\beta_j^{(0)})^{-1}.$$

For given k, j and l, $1 \le k \le \frac{v-1}{2}$, $0 \le j \le u-1$ and $0 \le l \le 2$, take $\{a,b,c\} \in A_k^1(1),\ a < b < c$. Define

$$\mathcal{C}_{l,u-1,j}^{(a,b,c)} = \beta_j^{(0)} T_l^{X_1}(a,c) \cup \beta_j^{(1)} T_l^{X_1}(c,b) \cup \beta_j^{(2)} T_l^{X_1}(b,a),$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}^{(u-1)}_{lkj} = \Big[\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in A^1_k(1)} (P^{(a,b,c)}_{l,u-1,j} \cup \mathcal{C}^{(a,b,c)}_{l,u-1,j}) \Big] \cup \Big[\bigcup_{\{a,b,c\} \in \mathcal{A}^1_k \backslash A^1_k(1)} \mathcal{A}^{(a,b,c)}_{lj} \Big].$$

Then each $(Y, \mathcal{D}_{lkj}^{(u-1)})$ is an RDTS(uv) for $0 \le l \le 2, 1 \le k \le \frac{v-1}{2}$ and $0 \le j \le u-1$. Furthermore, these RDTS(uv)s are disjoint and also disjoint with those obtained in Steps 1 and 2.

We obtain a total of 3(uv-2) disjoint RDTS(uv)s, a large set.

The details of the proof are omitted. But we should point out one thing. When considering the resolvability, there is no harm in disregarding the orientation of the triples. So the proof of the resolvability is similar to that in Theorem 2.3. Especially in Steps 2 and 3, if we disregard the orientation, $T_l^X(i,j)$ is actually the same as $C^X(i,j)$ for any $i \neq j$ and $0 \leq l \leq 2$.

Note: There is an LR(3) by Theorem 1.4. Take LR(3) in Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, then we can obtain the tripling constructions in Theorem 1.2.

3 Updated results

By Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 2.3 (respectively, Theorem 2.4) we get the following result.

Theorem 3.1 Let v be a positive integer such that $v \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. If there exist both an LRMTS(v) (respectively, LRDTS(v)) and an LR(u), then there exists an LRMTS(uv) (respectively, LRDTS(uv)).

Applying Theorem 3.1 recursively with the LRMTS(v)s and LRDTS(v)s from Theorem 1.1 and the LR(u)s from Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5, we obtain the updated existence results on LRMTSs and LRDTSs.

Theorem 3.2 There exist an LRMTS(v) and an LRDTS(v) for $v = 3^n m (2 \cdot k_1^{n_1} + 1)(2 \cdot k_2^{n_2} + 1) \cdots (2 \cdot k_t^{n_t} + 1)$ where $n \ge 1$, $t \ge 0$, $n_i \ge 1$, $k_i \in \{7, 13\}$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, t)$ and $m \in \{1, 4, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 23, 25, 35, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 55, 57, 61, 65, 67, 91, 123\} \cup \{(7^k + 2)/3, (13^k + 2)/3, (25^k + 2)/3, 2^{2k+1}25^j + 1 : k \ge 0 \text{ and } j \ge 0\}.$

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments and to Dr Lijun Ji for providing the new existence result on LR-designs (Theorem 1.5) through private communications.

References

- [1] Y. Chang, Transitive resolvable idempotent quasigroup and large sets of resolvable Mendelsohn triple systems, Discrete Math., to appear.
- [2] J. Denes and A. D. Keedwell, Latin square and their applications, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [3] L. Ji, private communication.
- [4] Q. Kang, Large sets of resolvable MTS and DTS of order $p^n + 2$, J. Combin. Designs 4 (1996), 301–321.
- [5] Q. Kang and J. Lei, On large sets of resolvable and almost resolvable oriented triple systems, J. Combin. Designs 4 (1996), 95-104.
- [6] Q. Kang and Z. Tian, Large sets of oriented triple systems with resolvability, Discrete Math. 212 (2000), 199-221.
- [7] J. Lei, On large sets of Kirkman triple systems, Discrete Math. 257 (2002), 63-81.
- [8] C. C. Lindner and A. P. Street, Construction of large sets of pairwise disjoint transitive triple systems, Europ. J. Combinatorics 4 (1983), 335–346.
- R. Xu and Q. Kang, A construction for LRMTS(12) and LRDTS(12), J. Hebei Teachers College (in Chinese), 2 (1997), 8-13.
- [10] S. Zhang and L. Zhu, Transitive resolvable idempotent symmetric quasigroups and large sets of Kirkman triple systems, Discrete Math. 247 (2002), 215–223.
- [11] S. Zhang and L. Zhu, An improved product construction for large sets of Kirkman triple systems, Discrete Math. 260 (2003), 307–313.
- [12] J. Zhou and Y. Chang, Tripling construction for large sets of resolvable directed triple systems, Acta Mathematica Sinica, to appear.