

# Partitioning sets of oriented triples into the smallest nontrivial oriented triple systems\*

HONGTAO ZHAO

*School of Mathematics and Physics  
North China Electric Power University  
Beijing 102206  
China  
ht\_zhao@163.com*

YANFANG ZHANG

*College of Mathematics and Statistics  
Hebei University of Economics and Business  
Shijiazhuang 050061  
China  
yanfang\_zh@163.com*

## Abstract

We study partitions of the set of all cyclic (respectively, transitive) triples chosen from a  $v$ -set into pairwise disjoint MTS(4)s (respectively, DTS(4)s). We find necessary conditions for the partitions. Furthermore, we prove that the necessary conditions for the partitions are also sufficient.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  be a finite set. In what follows, an ordered pair of  $X$  will always be an ordered pair  $(x, y)$ , where  $x \neq y \in X$ . A *cyclic triple* on  $X$  is a set of three ordered pairs  $(x, y)$ ,  $(y, z)$  and  $(z, x)$  of  $X$ , which is denoted by  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$  (or  $\langle y, z, x \rangle$ , or  $\langle z, x, y \rangle$ ). Generally, a *cyclic  $k$ -cycle* on  $X$  is a set of  $k$  ordered pairs  $(x_1, x_2), (x_2, x_3), \dots, (x_{k-1}, x_k)$  and  $(x_k, x_1)$ , which is denoted by  $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \rangle$  (or  $\langle x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, x_1 \rangle, \dots$ , or  $\langle x_k, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \rangle$ ). A *transitive triple* on  $X$  is a set of three ordered pairs  $(x, y)$ ,  $(y, z)$  and  $(x, z)$  of  $X$ , which is denoted by  $(x, y, z)$ . It is easy to know that cyclic triple and transitive triple are the only two types of oriented triples. A *Mendelsohn* (respectively *directed*) *triple system* of order  $v$  and index  $\lambda$ , denoted by MTS( $v, \lambda$ ) (respectively DTS( $v, \lambda$ )), is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $X$  is a  $v$ -set and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of

---

\* Research supported by NSFC Grant 10671055 and NSFHB Grant A2007000230.

cyclic (respectively transitive) triples on  $X$ , called *blocks*, such that each ordered pair of distinct elements of  $X$  is contained in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Usually,  $\text{MTS}(v, 1)$  (respectively  $\text{DTS}(v, 1)$ ) is written as  $\text{MTS}(v)$  (respectively  $\text{DTS}(v)$ ). A *Mendelsohn system*  $M(v, k, \lambda)$  on  $X$  is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $X$  is a  $v$ -set and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of cyclic  $k$ -cycles of  $X$ , called *blocks*, such that each ordered pair of distinct elements of  $X$  is contained in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Obviously, the Mendelsohn system  $M(v, k, \lambda)$  is a generalization of the Mendelsohn triple system  $\text{MTS}(v, \lambda)$ . An  $M(v, 3, \lambda)$  is an  $\text{MTS}(v, \lambda)$ .

The problem of partitioning larger combinatorial structures into copies of smaller ones has a long history. If  $v \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $v \neq 6$ , then a Mendelsohn triple system  $\text{MTS}(v)$  exists, and there is a partition of the simple  $\text{MTS}(v, v-2)$  into  $v-2$   $\text{MTS}(v)$ s, that is, a *large set* of  $\text{MTS}(v)$ s (see [8, 7]). The blocks of a simple  $\text{MTS}(v, v-2)$  are in fact all the cyclic triples from a set of size  $v$ . If  $v \equiv 0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 9 \pmod{12}$ , then there is a partition of the simple  $\text{MTS}(v+1, v-1)$  into  $v+1$   $\text{MTS}(v)$ s, that is, an *overlarge set* of  $\text{MTS}(v)$ s (see [9]). The set of all cyclic  $k$ -cycles chosen from a given  $v$ -set forms an  $M(v, k, (v-2) \dots (v-k+1))$ , which is simple. If  $k = v$  and  $v-1$  is a composite, then there is a partition of the simple  $M(v, v, (v-2)!)$  into  $(v-2)! M(v, v, 1)$ s (see [5, 11]). The set of all transitive triples chosen from a given  $v$ -set forms a  $\text{DTS}(v, 3(v-2))$ , which is simple. If  $v \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$ , then a directed triple system  $\text{DTS}(v)$  exists, and there is a partition of the simple  $\text{DTS}(v, 3(v-2))$  into  $3(v-2)$   $\text{DTS}(v)$ s, that is, a large set of  $\text{DTS}(v)$ s (see [2, 6]). If  $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ ,  $v \equiv 4, 12 \pmod{24}$ ,  $v \equiv 24 \pmod{120}$ , then there is a partition of the simple  $\text{DTS}(v+1, 3(v-1))$  into  $3(v+1)$   $\text{DTS}(v)$ s, that is, an overlarge set of  $\text{DTS}(v)$ s (see [10]).

In this paper, we consider partitions of the set of all cyclic (respectively transitive) triples into the smallest nontrivial Mendelsohn (respectively directed) triple systems, i.e.,  $\text{MTS}(4)$ s (respectively  $\text{DTS}(4)$ s). We find necessary conditions for the partitions. Furthermore, we prove that the necessary conditions for the partitions are also sufficient.

## 2 Necessary Conditions

Firstly, we consider the general case where one Mendelsohn system is partitioned into copies of another.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $D = (V, \mathcal{B})$  be a Mendelsohn system with parameters  $M(v, k, \lambda)$ , and  $E = (W, \mathcal{C})$  be a Mendelsohn system with parameters  $M(w, k, \mu)$ . If  $D$  can be partitioned into pairwise disjoint copies of  $E$ , then the following divisibility conditions are necessary:*

$$\mu | \lambda, \tag{1}$$

$$(w-1)\mu | (v-1)\lambda, \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{w(w-1)\mu}{k} \mid \frac{v(v-1)\lambda}{k}, \tag{3}$$

and  $D$  must be partitioned into  $n$  pairwise disjoint copies of  $E$ , where

$$n = \frac{v(v-1)\lambda}{w(w-1)\mu}. \quad (4)$$

**Proof.** Conditions (1) and (2) follow by counting, in each system, the occurrences of ordered pairs and the replications of elements respectively. Conditions (3) and (4) follow by counting numbers of blocks in each system. ■

Secondly, we consider the special case where  $D = (V, \mathcal{B})$  is the simple  $M(v, 3, v-2)$  consisting of all cyclic triples chosen from the  $v$ -set  $V$ . The next result is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $E$  be the smallest nontrivial Mendelsohn system  $MTS(4)$ . If there is a partition of the simple  $M(v, 3, v-2)$  into pairwise disjoint copies of  $MTS(4)s$ , then it is necessary that*

$$3|(v-1)(v-2) \text{ and } 12|v(v-1)(v-2)$$

or equivalently

$$v \equiv 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10 \pmod{12} \quad (5)$$

Finally, we consider the partition of the set of all transitive triples chosen from a  $v$ -set into pairwise disjoint DTS(4)s. We know that the set of all transitive triples chosen from a given  $v$ -set forms a simple DTS( $v, 3(v-2)$ ). Similarly, we can obtain the necessary conditions of this kind of partition.

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $E$  be the smallest nontrivial directed system  $DTS(4)$ . If there is a partition of the simple DTS( $v, 3(v-2)$ ) into pairwise disjoint copies of  $DTS(4)s$ , then it is necessary that*

$$v \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4} \quad (6)$$

### 3 Direct constructions for small cases

#### 3.1 Partitioning into $MTS(4)$

We give direct constructions of partitions of the set of all the cyclic triples of a  $v$ -set for the cases  $v = 4, 5, 13$ .

$v = 4$  : A large set of  $MTS(4)$  will do.

$v = 5$  : An overlarge set of  $MTS(4)$  will do.

$v = 13$  : Let  $V = Z_{13}$  be the 13-set. Under the action of the group  $Z_{13}$ , all the cyclic triples on  $V$  are partitioned into 44 orbits  $\mathcal{G}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 44$ , which are listed as follows with representatives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0, 2, 1 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 11, 1 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 1, 3 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 1, 2 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 3, 1 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 10, 1 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 1, 4 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 1, 11 \rangle, \\ \langle 0, 4, 1 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 9, 1 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 1, 5 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 1, 10 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 5, 1 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 8, 1 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 1, 6 \rangle, \quad \langle 0, 1, 9 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0, 6, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 7, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 7 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 8 \rangle, \langle 0, 4, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 8, 4 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 8 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 6 \rangle, \\
&\langle 0, 9, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 4, 8 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 0, 7, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 5, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 8, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 7 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 10 \rangle, \\
&\langle 0, 10, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 3, 8 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 5 \rangle, \langle 0, 8, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 6, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 7, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 9 \rangle, \langle 0, 3, 9 \rangle, \\
&\langle 0, 9, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 3, 7 \rangle, \langle 0, 3, 6 \rangle, \langle 0, 6, 3 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing one cyclic triple from each of the four orbits  $\mathcal{G}_{4i-3}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{4i-2}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{4i-1}$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_{4i}$  to form a starter  $MTS(4)$ , denoted by  $\pi_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq 11$ , we obtain 11 orbits of  $MTS(4)$ s, each of which is of length 13, with the following starter  $MTS(4)$ s

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 &= \{\langle 0, 2, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 0, 3 \rangle, \langle 1, 3, 0 \rangle, \langle 3, 1, 2 \rangle\}; & \pi_2 &= \{\langle 0, 3, 1 \rangle, \langle 3, 0, 4 \rangle, \langle 1, 4, 0 \rangle, \langle 4, 1, 3 \rangle\}; \\
\pi_3 &= \{\langle 0, 4, 1 \rangle, \langle 4, 0, 5 \rangle, \langle 1, 5, 0 \rangle, \langle 5, 1, 4 \rangle\}; & \pi_4 &= \{\langle 0, 5, 1 \rangle, \langle 5, 0, 6 \rangle, \langle 1, 6, 0 \rangle, \langle 6, 1, 5 \rangle\}; \\
\pi_5 &= \{\langle 0, 6, 1 \rangle, \langle 6, 0, 7 \rangle, \langle 1, 7, 0 \rangle, \langle 7, 1, 6 \rangle\}; & \pi_6 &= \{\langle 0, 4, 2 \rangle, \langle 4, 0, 8 \rangle, \langle 2, 8, 0 \rangle, \langle 8, 2, 4 \rangle\}; \\
\pi_7 &= \{\langle 0, 9, 2 \rangle, \langle 9, 0, 4 \rangle, \langle 2, 4, 0 \rangle, \langle 4, 2, 9 \rangle\}; & \pi_8 &= \{\langle 0, 5, 2 \rangle, \langle 5, 0, 7 \rangle, \langle 2, 7, 0 \rangle, \langle 7, 2, 5 \rangle\}; \\
\pi_9 &= \{\langle 0, 10, 2 \rangle, \langle 10, 0, 5 \rangle, \langle 2, 5, 0 \rangle, \langle 5, 2, 10 \rangle\}; & \pi_{10} &= \{\langle 0, 6, 2 \rangle, \langle 6, 0, 9 \rangle, \langle 2, 9, 0 \rangle, \langle 9, 2, 6 \rangle\}; \\
\pi_{11} &= \{\langle 0, 9, 3 \rangle, \langle 9, 0, 6 \rangle, \langle 3, 6, 0 \rangle, \langle 6, 3, 9 \rangle\}.
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.2 Partitioning into $DTS(4)$

We give direct constructions of partitions of the set of all the transitive triples of a  $v$ -set for the cases  $v = 4, 5, 6, 9, 13$ .

$v = 4$ : A large set of  $DTS(4)$  will do.

$v = 5$ : An overlarge set of  $DTS(4)$  will do.

$v = 6$ : Let  $V = Z_6$  be the 6-set. Under the action of the group  $Z_6$ , all the transitive triples on  $V$  are partitioned into 20 orbits  $\mathcal{G}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 20$ , which are listed as follows with representatives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0, 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 0, 4, 5 \rangle, \langle 0, 3, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 3, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 4, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 3, 4 \rangle, \langle 0, 3, 5 \rangle, \\
&\langle 0, 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 5, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 4 \rangle, \langle 0, 5, 4 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 5, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 5, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 5 \rangle, \\
&\langle 0, 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 4, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 5 \rangle, \langle 0, 4, 1 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing one transitive triple from each of the four orbits  $\mathcal{G}_{4i-3}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{4i-2}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{4i-1}$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_{4i}$  to form a starter  $DTS(4)$ , denoted by  $\pi_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , we obtain 4 orbits of  $DTS(4)$ s, each of which is of length 6, with the following starter  $DTS(4)$ s

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 &= \{\langle 0, 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 2, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 4, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 4, 2 \rangle\}; & \pi_2 &= \{\langle 0, 4, 2 \rangle, \langle 4, 0, 5 \rangle, \langle 2, 5, 0 \rangle, \langle 5, 2, 4 \rangle\}; \\
\pi_3 &= \{\langle 0, 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 0, 3 \rangle, \langle 2, 3, 0 \rangle, \langle 3, 2, 1 \rangle\}; & \pi_4 &= \{\langle 0, 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 1, 0, 2 \rangle, \langle 3, 2, 0 \rangle, \langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let  $\chi_i = \{\langle 0, 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 5, 0 \rangle, \langle 2, 0, 5 \rangle, \langle 5, 3, 2 \rangle\} + i$ ,

$$\psi_i = \{\langle 0, 2, 5 \rangle, \langle 3, 5, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 0, 3 \rangle, \langle 5, 3, 0 \rangle\} + i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2.$$

The six  $DTS(4)$ s,  $\chi_i$  and  $\psi_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ), cover each of the transitive triples in orbits  $\mathcal{G}_{17}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{18}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{19}$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_{20}$  exactly once. So all the 30  $DTS(4)$ s give the required partition.

$v = 9$ : Let  $V = Z_9$  be the 9-set. Under the action of the group  $Z_9$ , all the transitive triples on  $V$  are partitioned into 56 orbits  $\mathcal{G}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 56$ , which are listed as follows with representatives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0, 2, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 8 \rangle, \langle 0, 7, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 7, 8 \rangle, \langle 0, 3, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 8 \rangle, \langle 0, 8, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 6, 8 \rangle, \\
&\langle 0, 3, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 8, 7 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 0, 6, 7 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 4 \rangle, \langle 0, 8, 5 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 7 \rangle, \langle 0, 8, 2 \rangle, \\
&\langle 0, 6, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 7, 6 \rangle, \langle 0, 2, 5 \rangle, \langle 0, 3, 4 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 4, 6 \rangle, \langle 0, 5, 3 \rangle, \langle 0, 8, 6 \rangle, \\
&\langle 0, 4, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 4, 8 \rangle, \langle 0, 5, 8 \rangle, \langle 0, 5, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 5 \rangle, \langle 0, 8, 4 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 6 \rangle, \langle 0, 8, 3 \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

$(0, 6, 1), (0, 3, 8), (0, 6, 2), (0, 3, 7), (0, 7, 4), (0, 6, 5), (0, 3, 6), (0, 2, 3),$   
 $(0, 4, 2), (0, 4, 7), (0, 5, 7), (0, 5, 2), (0, 2, 6), (0, 7, 3), (0, 2, 7), (0, 7, 2),$   
 $(0, 6, 4), (0, 7, 5), (0, 2, 4), (0, 3, 5), (0, 4, 5), (0, 5, 4), (0, 4, 3), (0, 5, 6).$

Choosing one transitive triple from each of the four orbits  $\mathcal{G}_{4i-3}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{4i-2}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{4i-1}$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_{4i}$  to form a starter  $DTS(4)$ , denoted by  $\pi_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq 14$ , we obtain 14 orbits of  $DTS(4)$ s, each of which is of length 9, with the following starter  $DTS(4)$ s

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_1 &= \{(0, 2, 1), (1, 3, 0), (2, 0, 3), (3, 1, 2)\}; & \pi_2 &= \{(0, 3, 1), (1, 2, 0), (2, 1, 3), (3, 0, 2)\}; \\
 \pi_3 &= \{(0, 3, 2), (2, 1, 0), (1, 2, 3), (3, 0, 1)\}; & \pi_4 &= \{(0, 1, 4), (4, 3, 0), (3, 4, 1), (1, 0, 3)\}; \\
 \pi_5 &= \{(0, 6, 3), (3, 1, 0), (1, 3, 6), (6, 0, 1)\}; & \pi_6 &= \{(0, 1, 3), (3, 7, 0), (7, 3, 1), (1, 0, 7)\}; \\
 \pi_7 &= \{(0, 4, 1), (1, 5, 0), (5, 1, 4), (4, 0, 5)\}; & \pi_8 &= \{(0, 1, 5), (5, 4, 0), (4, 5, 1), (1, 0, 4)\}; \\
 \pi_9 &= \{(0, 6, 1), (1, 4, 0), (4, 1, 6), (6, 0, 4)\}; & \pi_{10} &= \{(0, 7, 4), (4, 1, 0), (1, 4, 7), (7, 0, 1)\}; \\
 \pi_{11} &= \{(0, 4, 2), (2, 6, 0), (6, 2, 4), (4, 0, 6)\}; & \pi_{12} &= \{(0, 2, 6), (6, 4, 0), (4, 6, 2), (2, 0, 4)\}; \\
 \pi_{13} &= \{(0, 6, 4), (4, 2, 0), (2, 4, 6), (6, 0, 2)\}; & \pi_{14} &= \{(0, 4, 5), (5, 1, 0), (1, 5, 4), (4, 0, 1)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$v = 13$ : From Section 3.1, we know that there is a partition of all the cyclic triples on  $Z_{13}$  into  $13 \times 11 = 143$  pairwise disjoint  $MTS(4)$ s. For convenience, we call the 143  $MTS(4)$ s  $\mathcal{B}_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq 143$ . Suppose each 4-set is  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ , then by the concrete construction of each  $\mathcal{B}_i$ , we know that each  $\mathcal{B}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 143$ ) consists of four cyclic triples  $\langle a, b, c \rangle, \langle b, a, d \rangle, \langle c, d, a \rangle$  and  $\langle d, c, b \rangle$ , that is

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \{\langle a, b, c \rangle, \langle b, a, d \rangle, \langle c, d, a \rangle, \langle d, c, b \rangle\}.$$

For a cyclic triple  $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ , we call the transitive triples  $(a, b, c), (b, c, a)$ , and  $(c, a, b)$  the three corresponding *shifts* of  $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ . Assigning shift to every block in  $\mathcal{B}_i$ , we get three collections  $\mathcal{B}_i^j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 3$ ) from  $\mathcal{B}_i$ , which are listed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_i^1 &= \{(a, b, c), (b, a, d), (c, d, a), (d, c, b)\}; \\
 \mathcal{B}_i^2 &= \{(b, c, a), (a, d, b), (d, a, c), (c, b, d)\}; \\
 \mathcal{B}_i^3 &= \{(c, a, b), (d, b, a), (a, c, d), (b, d, c)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to verify that each  $\mathcal{B}_i^j$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 143$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 3$ ) is a  $DTS(4)$  since each  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is an  $MTS(4)$ . So we get  $143 \times 3 = 429$   $DTS(4)$ s. Furthermore, because all the blocks in  $\mathcal{B}_i$  form a partition of all the cyclic triples on  $Z_{13}$ , all the blocks in  $\mathcal{B}_i^j$  form a partition of all the transitive triples on  $Z_{13}$ .

## 4 Recursive Constructions

A  $t$ -wise balanced design  $S(t, K, v)$ , is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $X$  is a  $v$ -set and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$ , called *blocks*, such that the size of every block in the set  $\mathcal{B}$  belongs to the set  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$ , and every  $t$ -subset of  $X$  is contained in exactly one block of  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $K = \{k\}$ , then the design is called a  $t$ -design. Our recursive constructions depend on the existence of 3-wise balanced design  $S(3, \{4, 5\}, v)$  for  $v \equiv 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10 \pmod{12}$  and  $v \not\equiv 13 \pmod{12}$  (see [3]), and the existence of  $S(3, \{4, 5, 6\}, v)$  for  $v \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $v \not\equiv 9, 13 \pmod{4}$  (see [4]).

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be an  $S(3, K, v)$ . If the set of all cyclic (respectively transitive) triples chosen from a  $k$ -set can be partitioned into pairwise disjoint copies of  $MTS(4)_s$  (respectively  $DTS(4)_s$ ) for any  $k \in K$ , then there is a partition of the set of all cyclic (respectively transitive) triples chosen from a  $v$ -set into pairwise disjoint  $MTS(4)_s$  (respectively  $DTS(4)_s$ ).*

**Proof.** For every block in  $\mathcal{B}$ , of size  $k \in K$ , there is a partition of all cyclic (respectively transitive) triples chosen from the  $k$ -set into pairwise disjoint copies of  $MTS(4)_s$  (respectively  $DTS(4)_s$ ). Because each triple appears in a unique block of  $\mathcal{B}$ , the union of the partitions covers all cyclic (respectively transitive) triples chosen from the set  $X$ . ■

## 5 Main Results

**Theorem 5.1** *There is a partition of the set of all cyclic triples chosen from a  $v$ -set into pairwise disjoint copies of  $MTS(4)_s$  for all  $v \equiv 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10 \pmod{12}$  and  $v \geq 4$ .*

**Proof.** For  $v \equiv 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10 \pmod{12}$ ,  $v \geq 4$  and  $v \neq 13$ , there exists an  $S(3, \{4, 5\}, v)$ . By Theorem 4.1 and the partitions for  $v = 4, 5, 13$  constructed in Section 3.1, we can obtain the result. ■

Theorem 5.1 is equivalent with the result proved by Hartman and Phelps [1], and mentioned in reference [9], that a generalized idempotent 3-quasigroup whose conjugate invariant group contains the alternative group on 4 elements exists for exactly those same values of  $v$ . Our proof is different and shorter than the one given by Hartman and Phelps.

**Theorem 5.2** *There is a partition of the set of all transitive triples chosen from a  $v$ -set into pairwise disjoint copies of  $DTS(4)_s$  for all  $v \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $v \geq 4$ .*

**Proof.** For  $v \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $v \geq 4$  and  $v \neq 9, 13$ , there exists an  $S(3, \{4, 5, 6\}, v)$ . By Theorem 4.1 and the partitions for  $v = 4, 5, 6, 9, 13$  constructed in Section 3.2, we can obtain the result. ■

Thus, we have proved that the necessary conditions for the partitions of the set of all cyclic (respectively transitive) triples chosen from a  $v$ -set into pairwise disjoint  $MTS(4)_s$  (respectively  $DTS(4)_s$ ) are also sufficient.

## Acknowledgements

The authors appreciate Professor Qingde Kang for his kind help and support. Also, the authors would like to thank the referees for their careful reading of the paper and helpful comments.

## References

- [1] A. Hartman and K.T. Phelps, Tetrahedral quadruple systems, *Utilitas Math.* 37 (1990), 181–189.
- [2] S.H.Y. Hung and N.S. Mendelsohn, Directed triple systems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 14 (1973), 310–318.
- [3] L. Ji, On the 3BD-closed set  $B_3(\{4, 5\})$ , *Discrete Math.* 287 (2004), 55–67.
- [4] L. Ji, On the 3BD-closed set  $B_3(\{4, 5, 6\})$ , *J. Combin. Des.* 12 (2004), 92–102.
- [5] Q. Kang, A generalization of Mendelsohn triple systems, *Ars Combin.* 29C (1990), 207–215.
- [6] Q. Kang and Y. Chang, A completion of the spectrum for large sets of transitive triple systems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 60 (1992), 287–294.
- [7] Q. Kang, J. Lei and Y. Chang, The spectrum of large sets of disjoint Mendelsohn triple systems with any index, *J. Combin. Des.* 2 (1994), 351–358.
- [8] N.S. Mendelsohn, A natural generalization of Steiner triple systems, in *Computers in Number Theory* (eds. A.O. Atkin and B.J. Birch), Academic Press, New York, 1971, pp. 323–338.
- [9] L. Teirlinck, Generalized idempotent orthogonal arrays, in *Coding Theory and Design Theory, Part II, IMA Vol. Math. Appl.* 21, Springer-Verlag, 1990, pp. 368–378.
- [10] Z. Tian, *On large sets and overlarge sets of combinatorial designs* (in Chinese), Hebei Normal University, Doctoral Thesis, 2003.
- [11] H. Zhao and Q. Kang, Large sets of Hamilton cycle and path decompositions, *Discrete Math.* (to appear).

(Received 9 Aug 2006; revised 30 Dec 2007)